

Notes to Authors (Guidelines for Preparing Research Article) for Curriculum Development Journal

(I) Title Page

The **Title** of the article should be intelligent, brief, and informative. The maximum length should not be more than 120 characters. Do not include the authority for taxonomic names in the title. Letters of a word in the main title and Subtitles should be in the Title case if the article is written in English. Subtitles should not be more than heading level 3.

(II) Abstract

An **abstract** of the manuscript should be of a maximum length of 250 words for a full-length article. It should be clear, concise, and complete in its limits providing the main argument or hypotheses including the objective, methods, results, and major conclusions.

Five to seven **Keywords** should be provided at the bottom of the abstract. The initial letter of the first Keyword Should be capitalized.

(III) Main Text

The **Main Text** should be in the range of 3000- 5000 words excluding abstract and references and organized under the following headings:

The **introduction** should describe the significance of the paper beginning with a paragraph of explanation of existing knowledge and the research gap of the problem under investigation leading to the main research questions or objectives, and argument.

The **Methods and Materials** section should provide sufficient information so that the research can be repeated in the future. Therefore, a clear description of technical procedures should include the study area, sampling design, and methods as well as tools applied.

Results and Discussion generally should be stated concisely and clearly in descriptive, tabular, and graphical forms with interpretation. This section should address the objectives systematically. Qualitative writing may replace the subheading with discussion and findings.

It should provide, a) interpretation of the results without recapitulating them, b) comparison of the results with previous research findings, c) impacts of the results on the existing knowledge of the subject, and d) suggestions for further research. Results should be discussed with at least a couple of previously published peer-reviewed journal articles.

Conclusions should clearly point out the main findings, which must be justified by the analysis of the data. Preconceived ideas should not override the results and conclusions.

(IV) Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments (if necessary) should be short and specific providing information about various supports received for the research.

(V) References

Reference should be cited in the text by the name/s of the author/s and year of publication in chronological order. All citations and referencing should be as per the APA 7th style. It is suggested that references with DOI should be more than 50% in any case. In addition, at least 25% references are taken from recently published (in the last 2 years) Journals. If it is available, the DOI or URL source address should be added in the references, and the accessed date should be mentioned in case of website/URL citation.

(VI) Peer Review Process

The Editorial Board will check the manuscripts based on the guidelines for preparing research articles provided to the authors, and whether the submitted manuscript is appropriate for publication in a CDC journal or not. Plagiarism must be checked before being assigned to the reviewer. The chief editor will assign the article to a reviewer based on the reviewer's expertise. Based on the double-blind peer review principle, the article will be sent to two reviewers. The reviewers are given two weeks to review the article based on the guidelines given to them. Double-blind peer review is applied for the article submitted and within the accepted level of Plagiarism. Reviewed papers are sent to the authors to incorporate all comments and suggestions given by both reviewers only in condition of minor revision and in case of major revision suggested by any of the reviewers, the article will be rejected.

Once the author submits the corrected paper addressing the reviewers' comments, it will be sent to the corresponding reviewers again to decide whether the manuscript has the potential for acceptance. Authors should incorporate all questions or should defend (clarify) reviewers' comments. However, the final decision to accept a paper for publication is taken by the chief editor in the acceptance of members of the Board.